G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1917.

(Mar 2)

File No. 666

Serial Nos. 1-5

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for March 19

SUBJECT.

Complaint against Mr S Hanumaa Rao Ex Amildar of Serangapatam

---

D.C. Mysore

No 2732 Dt 11.3.19

Records the Concerned

W D 1250—GPB—30 000 . 28-1-19

Chrs 12.4.19

G. R. S. III—1] 1917-18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 666-17

FILE HEADING.

Complaints on Mr. S. V. Hanumantha Rao Amildar of Seringapatam.

From

The Chief Secy to Govt.

No. J mis 1698 Dated 6th June 1918 Received 10th June 18

Diary No. 2008	Enclos. 2 Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Interpellations by Mr. Kanick Krishna moorty Rao to be answered at the Meeting of the Council on 28th June 1918

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) The non-official member of the Legislative Council refers to an article entitled 'Bureaucracy in Excelsis' in the 'Karnataka' dated 15th April 1918 dealing about the conduct of Mr S. V Hanumantha Rao. Amildar

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—15 11 18

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 666 of 191).

Serial No.

Amildar of Seringapatam (Since transferred to T. Narasipur) with particular reference to his action in the matter of presenting a 'Mudi' to Sri Ranganatha Swami at the place.

2) The paper referred to ~~has been~~ is subd for perusal.

3) Draft calling for a report on the matter from the Dy Commr Mysore District is subd for approval or other orders.

Amn 11/6/18

[May the Supdts Office be consulted as to whether there are any reference in the matter]

4) The file is with the Secy. Subd

Secy) KD. 11/6/18

5) Approved

KD 11/6/18 11.6.18

6) Draft as per orders is subd for approval.

PS 12/6/18 Amn 12/6/18 Secy)

KD 12/6/18

7) Revised draft-approved in Secretariat today

13.6.18

KD. 1/6/18

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60 000—20 3 19—9

6895

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwg File No. 666 of 1917.

Serial No.

1 No papers relating to the incident mentioned in the accompg inter pellation or pending in Br I. Br VIII may perhaps be consulted

2 This may be referred to Br VII Br III Br VIII

Sl 1

A good portion the interpellation seems to relate Br VII. Br III would requested to refer the interpellation to Br also.

Yes 11/6/18

Subn. 10 8/6/18

Sd/- 8/6/18

Sd/- 10/6/18

Subn. 10 8/6/18

Yes 11/6/18

20 Br VII This will be sent to Br VIII. A copy of the interpellation will be sent to Br VIII also as suggested above.

Sd/- 8/6/18

Yes 11/6/18

2 To the Dy. Commr, Lyallpur St.
No 3452 Dt/ 13.6.18
Invite his attention to the article in question and call for a detailed report from him

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB 60,000 1-12-16 S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysore File No. 666 of 1917

Serial No. 2.

To Dy Comm^r Mysore District, Pro 3454 S/13.6.18 invites his attention to the article in question and calls for a detailed report on the matter.

12 As no information is available a communication calling for a report has been addressed to the Dy Comm^r. As the interpellation goes into general detail about the Cow, it does not seem likely that a reply will be received from the Dy Comm^r in due time.

1 The member may be told in reply that information has been called for. A draft reply is put up for approval

Sd
13.6.18

1st member.

4566/14/6

14 Why are not such matters enquired into independently of these interpellations, so as to enable the officers in the papers, it should have been the duty of the Govt. This may be borne in mind in future

14/6 Sd

Sd
15/6/18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Mary 1866-17

Draft reply.

The attention of
Girls has been drawn
to the correspondence reported
& an enquiry is being
made

Lch
13.6.66

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record]

Mug 666-17

D 2137

Mug: 666-17-3

Letter No 639 dt 15-6-18 from the Dy Comm^r Mysore District requesting for a copy of the 'Karnataka' dated 10th April

Joint Secy's order

17. If the issue is not available make an extract and send'

(sd) G a

21-6-18

18 A copy of the issue was obtained from the Library and the same is put up for reference in the main file before the Leg Council of 1918 in ct

There is no spare copy to prepare an extract.

Submitted for orders

PS 24.6.18 If may the Cash Section be asked to purchase a spare copy of the issue & send it on to Hon'ble Br

To Br. VIII & kindly put up their copy of the issue, above referred to

24/6/18 Hon'ble Br VIII Copy is not available as Cuttings have been made

24/6/18

24/6/18

To Cash Section for necessary compliance early

For Secy Educ. Dept.

24/6/18

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

GBSM 592

G.R.S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Journ 666-1)

Br. V will kindly put up their copy (Karnataka 8 10-4-1918) for the use of Br. VII

25/6/18

Br. S. C. Please get from the Karnataka Office a copy of the Karnataka

25/6/18

Copies of news paper as soon as received are sent to Library

25/6/18

Regr. sent to the Drawing Draft Sanctd. for approval Karnataka

25/6/18

A copy of the issue regd. has been purchased and put up herewith

25/6/18

To Br. VII

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III–3]

2137

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Jud 666-17

2nd Draft forwarding the copy of Karnataka's dt 10-4-18 to Dy Commr Mysore Dist. is submd for approval

P.S. 25/6/18

ann 26/6/18

Approved

These letters have to be included in the proper file 25 June

26/6/18

Submtd

As a report is received in the matter, is it necessary to issue the present dft? ann 29.6.18 - 31 Await the return of the report from the Secy, Bangalore to which it has been sent

29/6/18

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S III–3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied; or slips pasted on this form.

7-2-1/698 Emy 666-17

39

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL,
Dated Bangalore, 10th July 1918.

No.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Kind attention of ...the Secretary to Govt...
...Muzrai Dept...

is invited to question No ...9...asked and the reply given at the meeting of the Mysore Legislative Council on the...28th June 1918... and he is requested to be so good as to take further action on the subject as indicated in the Government reply and intimate the result to this office. The file on the subject is also returned

By Order,

Secretary,
Mysore Legislative Council.

WD 2972—GPB—300—9-6-16

MEETING OF THE MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

28th JUNE 1918

INTERPELLATIONS.

QUESTIONS

(By Mr. M Venkatakrishnaiya.)

1 Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether their attention has been drawn to the leader under the heading "Civil Service No II in the "Vokkaligara Patrika," dated 30th January 1918, and

(*b*) whether the sentiments expressed therein do not deserve to be examined and a press communique issued thereon ?

2. (*a*) Will the Government be pleased to state the number of graduates in the State of the following communities—

(*a*) Vokkaligars,
(*b*) Lingayets,
(*c*) Mahomedans,
(*d*) Christians,
(*e*) Jains
(*f*) Brahmins,
(*g*) Other important communities

(*b*) How many graduates of each of the above communities are in Government service carrying a monthly salary of Rs 100 and upwards ?

3. Will the Government be pleased to state —

(*a*) whether they have received a copy of the proceedings of the meeting held in Doddannas Hall, Bangalore, re "Communal Representation and

(*b*) whether it is a fact that one of the prominent members there made a speech bordering on disrespect for supreme authority and, if so, what action the Government have been pleased to take regarding the matter ?

ANSWERS

(By Mr C S Balasundaram Iyer, B A)

(*a*) & (*b*)—Government have perused the article in question and they do not think it necessary to issue press communiques to correct every such misrepresentation in the Public Press

(By Rajamantrapravina Dewan Bahadur Mr J S Chakravarti, M A F R A S)

(*a*) & (*b*)—Lists of graduates or Government employees classified according to castes or communities are not available and cannot be prepared without considerable labour and trouble A list of all graduates in the Mysore State giving occupation and address in each case prepared in 1915 is available for reference by the member, from which information of the kind required by him can be extracted if necessary

(By Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, B.A.)

(*a*) Government have perused the proceedings

(*b*) They do not find in the reported speeches anything calling for special action

QUESTIONS

(By Mr. B. Narasinga Rao.)

4. Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of Sub-Registrars in the State, and how many of them are graduates and how many non-graduates,

(*b*) whether the rules issued in May 1904 for the recruitment of Sub Registrars insist upon only graduates being entertained

(*c*) Whether the said rules have been since modified and if so when,

(*d*) if the said rules have not been modified, what the reasons are for relaxing the same in favour of non graduates, and

(*e*) will the Government be pleased to call for the gradation list of Sub-Registrars and direct its scrutiny and rectification, if necessary, in conformity with Standing Orders?

5. Will the Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether they are aware that in all the stations in the Kolar Gold Field Railway sign boards are put up at the entrance to the urinals marked 'European gentlemen and Native men' and

(*b*) whether they will take steps to set matters right?

6 Will the Government be pleased to furnish information on the following points—

withdrawn

(*a*) how many Brahmin landholders are there in the State, and

(*b*) what is the total land revenue paid by them annually to Government?

(By Mr. M. Chengiah Chetty, B.A.)

7 (*a*) With reference to the reply given to question (1) put at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 21st February 1918, will Government be pleased to state if the appeal mentioned therein has been disposed of and if so, with what result?

(*b*) Will Government be pleased to state if the defaulter has undergone imprisonment for non-payment of excise dues and if so, for what period?

ANSWERS

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr M Kantaraj Urs, B A, C S.I)

(*a*) Of the 50 permanent Sub-Registrars, only 28 are graduates.

(*b*) The order referred to does not lay down that none but a graduate shall be appointed as a Sub-Registrar. It merely states that only graduates should as a rule, be, if available, entertained as Probationary Sub-Registrars

(*c*) No.

(*d*) As already stated, there is no prohibition against the entertainment of non-graduates

(*e*) Unnecessary as appointments, promotions and gradations are ordered by Government after scrutiny of the departmental recommendations

(By Mr. P Raghavendra Rao, B.A., B.L.)

(*a*) Yes

(*b*) The Agent, Madras and Southern Mahratta Railway Company who was addressed in the matter has since replied stating that necessary instructions have been issued to the Chief Engineer to remove the boards bearing the objectionable words.

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr M Kantaraj Urs, B A, C S I)

(*a*) & (*b*)—The required information is not available, and it cannot be compiled without much time and labour, the Government consider such a compilation unnecessary.

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr. M. Kantaraj Urs, B.A., C.S.I.)

(*a*) The appeal was dismissed by the Revenue Commissioner on 1st June 1918.

(*b*) Yes, for twenty-four days

Qmy 666 17.12

QUESTIONS.

ANSWERS

8. (*a*) Adverting to the reply given to question (2) put at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 2nd October 1915, has the attention of Government been drawn to the fact that recently marriages of girls of 8 years with boys of 10 years have been taking place in some families of the higher classes and even among the Holeyars in Mysore?

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr. M. Kantaraj Urs, B.A., C.S.I.)

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Are Government aware of the fact that at the last Census, the number of Hindu and Jain widows between the ages of 8 and 15 was returned at 1,683?

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) In the light of these facts will Government be pleased to consider the desirability of suitably amending the Regulation?

(*c*) Government will be prepared to undertake legislation when there are clear indications that such a course is demanded by a large section of the people concerned.

(By Mr. M. Karnick Krishna Murti Rao.)

32

9. (*a*) Has the attention of the Government been drawn to the correspondence in the "Karnataka" and the "Sampadabhudya," dated 10th April 1918 complaining about the conduct of Mr. S. N. Hanumanta Rao, acting Amildar, Seringapatam Taluk (since transferred to T.-Narsipur) in the matter of having collected subscriptions for the purpose of completing an unfinished "Mudi" to Sri Ranganathaswami and will Government be pleased to state whether the Amildar had taken the permission of the higher authorities to complete the unfinished "Mudi" which was under lock and seal in the Taluk Treasury?

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr M Kantaraj Urs, B.A. C.S I.)

(*a*) *to* (*g*)—The attention of Government has been drawn to the correspondence referred to and an enquiry is being made.

(*b*) What was the weight of the "Mudi" in its unfinished state and what were the other jewels, precious stones and gold which were in loose condition in the sealed box along with the "Mudi" and what is the present weight of the "Mudi" and of the lac used?

(*c*) Is there any record to show the number and the quality of the precious stones which had been set in the "Mudi" before it was taken charge of by the Amildar, and if so, will Government be pleased to make a statement as regards the number and quality of the gems?

(*d*) Will Government be pleased to state whether the Amildar, as a Revenue Officer, was justified in collecting subscriptions from the people in the taluk without obtaining the permission of the higher authorities?

QUESTIONS

(e) Whether in collecting subscriptions for the purpose the Amildar had, in obedience to the Standing Orders, associated with him a Committee of respectable gentlemen and maintained accounts of all receipts and expenditure and whether he kept records of the Proceedings of such Committee, if it existed, and whether he kept the District Officers acquainted with the same?

(f) If any accounts had been kept, will the Government be pleased to lay on the table a copy of the list of subscribers with their names and the nature and the amount of subscription?

(g) If the answers of the Government to the latter parts of (a), (d) and (e) or to any of them are in the negative what action do the Government propose to take regarding the conduct of the Amildar?

10 Will the Government be pleased to state—

(a) the number and nature of administrative defects noticed and the public wants and requirements noted, by the Dewan Sahib and the grievances mentioned in the addresses presented in his several tours separately for each year from 1913-14 to 1916-17 during his inspection?

(b) the action taken by Government till now separately in each district especially in Bangalore District to remedy the administrative defects to supply popular wants and to redress the grievances specially mentioned in the addresses?

(By Mr. K. Narasimhaiya, B.A., B.L.)

11 Will Government be pleased to state the amount of Land Revenue payable to the Government by each of the following communities—

(1) Vokkaligars,
(2) Lingayets,
(3) Mahomedans,
(4) Brahmins,
(5) Vaishnavas,
(6) Jains,
(7) Christians and
(8) other communities?

12 Will Government be pleased to state—

(a) If the Sessions Judges were not inspecting the Sub-Division Magistrates' Courts annually,

ANSWERS

(By Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, B.A.)

(a) Copies of Notes of the Dewan's tour in the several districts of the State from 1913-14 to 1916-17 were placed at the disposal of the press from time to time as they were issued and will be supplied to the member, if required. They give the information sought for by him.

(b) Needful instructions have been given to the Departments concerned and the progress made in respect of each subject is being watched.

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr. M. Kantaraj Urs, B.A., C.S.I.)

Vide—Answer to Question No. 6.

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr. M. Kantaraj Urs, B.A., C.S.I.)

(a) They were inspecting occasionally. In a Government Order of 1911, they were directed to inspect Courts of Subordinate Magistrates at least once a year.

QUESTIONS.

(*b*) why this wholesome practice was discontinued,

(*c*) how many Sub-Division Magistrates' Courts were inspected by the Deputy Commissioners during the year, and

(*d*) will the Government be pleased to place on the table the notes of such inspection ?

ANSWERS.

(*b*) But in 1915, the Chief Court considered such inspection unnecessary. In British India Sessions Judges do not inspect such Courts.

(*c*) The information is given in the Criminal Justice Report for 1916-17.

(*d*) The notes are received in and reviewed by the Chief Court. It is undesirable to lay them on the table or publish them.

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr. M. Kantaraj Urs, B.A., C.S.I.)

13. Will Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) what action has been taken by the Government with reference to my interpellation No. 10, in the Legislative Council Meeting held on 5th December 1916 and the subsequent information given by me to the Government,

(*a*) Enquiry is being made. Some of the records have not yet been traced.

(*b*) whether they have been exercising or propose to exercise any supervision over Pasupathiah and Veerasettihalli Inam villages endowed for the maintenance of a choultry in Shankararayalpet or Gundangal in Punganur Zamindari, and

(*b*) No supervision is being exercised at present. The question is engaging attention.

(*c*) what the income of these villages is and how it is spent ?

(*c*) Information is not available.

(By Mr. S. Seetharamaiya)

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr. M. Kantaraj Urs, B.A , C S.I.)

14. Will Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the official notices of the Inspector-General of Police, dated 22nd May last and published in the *Mysore Gazette* of the 6th instant *re* Probationary Inspectors and Probationary Sub-Inspectors have been brought to the notice of the Government,

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) the number of Police Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors in the State now and how many of them are of backward communities,

(*b*) A statement showing the number of Police Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors in the service according to communities is placed on the table.

(*c*) whether it would be a good policy on the part of the Government to diminish the efficiency of the Police service by stipulating lower qualifications in the case of backward communities,

(*c*) The qualifications prescribed are considered sufficient.

(*d*) whether the same object could not be easily gained without in the least affecting the efficiency of the service by giving preference to members of the backward communities when the applicants for the offices referred to happen to possess the same or similar University qualifications and training, and

(*d*) & (*e*)—The reply to (*c*) renders an answer to these questions unnecessary.

QUESTIONS.

(*e*) whether Government will be pleased to take timely steps to avoid the risk of inefficiency by directing the needful being done in the matter?

(Mr B. Venkatasamanna, B.A , B L.)

15. Will Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of appeals pending in the Chief Court against sentences of death,

(*b*) when these appeals were filed, and

(*c*) the reasons for the delay in the disposal of the same?

16. Will Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether any practical steps are taken to control the prices of food stuffs, oil, fuel, etc., in the State,

(*b*) whether they would prohibit or control the export of food stuffs, and

(*c*) whether in view of the rise in prices, they would be pleased to give some allowance to low-paid officials throughout the State?

17. Will Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) the number of arrack and toddy shops opened during this year,

(*b*) the number that were closed during this year,

(*c*) their rent at that time, and

(*d*) the number that were recommended to be closed, but not closed and the reasons for not closing the same?

ANSWERS.

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr. M. Kantaraj Urs, B.A , C.S I.)

(*a*) Eight.

(*b*) A statement showing the numbers of such appeal cases pending with the dates of their institution is placed on the table.

(*c*) The delay except in the case of the last two appeals which were filed only on 18th May 1918 is due to the difficulty in getting the records printed.

(By Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, B.A.)

(*a*) & (*b*)—Yes. Copies of the Orders and Notifications issued in the matter have been placed on the press table and will be supplied to the member if required by him.

(*c*) Government are considering the question of granting grain compensation allowances to low paid officials under the rules in force.

(By Rajasevadhurina Mr. M. Kantaraj Urs., B.A., C.S.I.)

(*a*) One arrack and three toddy shops.

(*b*) Thirty-four arrack and 346 toddy shops.

(*c*) The rent of 206 of these shops is Rs. 50,400. Information regarding the rent of the remaining 174 shops is not available and has been called for

(*d*) Three arrack and 85 toddy shops. The officers conducting the sales, who were given discretion in the matter, have allowed these shops to remain in order that consumers may be prevented from resorting to illicit practice

Question No. 14.

Statement showing the number of Police Inspectors and Sub-Inspectors in the Mysore Service, according to castes.

Particulars	Permanent		Temporary and acting in place of officers on other duty or on leave	Brahmins	Kshatriyas	Vaisyas	Lingayets	Vakkaligars	Other non Brahmin Hindus	Christians	Mahomedans	Other communities, if any	Total
	Sanctioned scale	Existing											
Inspectors ...	120	114	...	61	7	...	5	4	11	12	14	. .	114
Do (ag) ...	...	. .	26	17	...	...	1	...	2	...	6	...	26
Sub-Inspectors ...	42	41	...	25	...	...	1	...	5	2	7	1	41
Do (ag.)	...	...	21	11	...	...	1	...	3	1	5	...	21

Question No. 15.

Statement showing the number of appeals pending before the Chief Court of Mysore in which sentence of death has been passed.

Serial No.	No. of the Appeal	Date of filing
1	Criminal Appeal No. 29 of 1917-18	25th September 1917
2	Do 55 of ,, ...	24th November 1917
3	Do 60 of ,, ...	10th December 1917
4	Do 63 of , ...	2nd January 1918
5	Do 74 of ,, ...	20th February 1918
6	Do 84 of ,, ...	30th March 1918
7	Do 98 of ,, ...	18th May 1918
	and	
8	Do 99 of ,, ...	

W D 2158—GPB—100—27-6 18

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysore File No. 666 of 1917.

Serial No.	
4	From the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District. Submits his report in regard to the article in the Karnataka entitled "Bureaucracy in Excelsis" in the issue of the 10th April 1918, along with the explanation of Rao S. N Hanumantha Rao, Ex-Amildar of Seringapatam and other connected records.
33	The Deputy Commr, Mysore Dt, states that the action of the Amildar in the matter was irregular and deserves censure.
34	A copy of the following note on the subject was drawn up by the Mysore Superintendent & submitted to the Dewan on the 13th July 1918:—

Papers herewith, &c.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

Rung 666-19

18

N O T E.

Subject:--

The preparation and consecration of the Rangamudi crown and the festival for the celebration of its presentation to Sri Ranganathaswamy at Seringapatam.

Deputy Commissioner's yadast No.Ref.C.639 of 1917-18 dated 27th June 1918.

The connected records have been received since and a report on the subject has also been received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, in reference to an interpellation asked in the Legislative Council.

2. The question of making an Utsava Kirita for the use of Sri Ranganathaswamy was mooted in September 1894 and sanction was accorded for an estimate of Rs.273-3- vide Government Order No.407-8 dated 2nd November 1894. As the work proceeded, further estimates were sanctioned from time to time and permission was granted utilize the precious stones on some of the old jewels belonging to the temple. Thus by the 11th October 1897 a sum of Rs.575-11-0 had been sanctioned, but the crown was still incomplete and in the possession of Dharmadarsi Venkatachar. The latter applied for more funds to buy rubies and gold and a sum of Rs.125/-

Rubkari No.211 dated 3rd Aug.1895.
Yadi No.343 dated 15th Sept. 1895.
Rubkari No.600 dated 16th Nov.1895.
" " 767 " 21st Jan.1896.

was sanctioned to purchase rubies, but an account was called for before according sanction to purchase gold. This account does not appear to have been submitted and as in the meanwhile the town was infected with plague, orders were issued to take the jewel from the possession of Dharmadarsi Venkatachar and place it in Treasury custody. In pursuance of this order, the unfinished jewel and loose stones were taken charge of and nothing further seems to have been done as Dharmadarsi Venkatachar and Archak Kesava Ranga Bhatta who interested themselves in the work died of plague soon after. The matter appears to have been brought to the notice of the Amildar Mr. Hanumanta Rao on his taking charge of the Taluk and at the desire of the devotees, he seems to have decided to have the work finished under the supervision of himself, the expenses being met by public subscription.

Rubkari No.37 dated 29th July 1898.

3. Seeing that the work has been in abeyance for more than 18 years, it would have been well if the Amildar had sought for permission to recommence the work, as that would have secured a proper examination of the unfinished jewel by his superior officers. This would have been a prudential course but he was acting against standing orders in not having taken permission

before collecting subscription and in not associating with himself either the Dharmadarsis or an independent committee of devotees. The Deputy Commissioner of Mysore considers that Mr. Hanumanta Rao deserves censure for this irregularity and adds that he has since been reverted to his permanent appointment in connection with certain other irregularities.

4. An account of receipts and expenditure was maintained by the Amildar and the total amount collected was Rs.1341-4-7 and that spent Rs.1132-14-0, the balance being deposited in Savings Bank No.1200 in the name of the Amildar. Lists of precious stones used for the crown and lying loose have also been kept and there is so far nothing to show that there has been any misappropriation.

5. As regards the allegations of high handedness and breach of Shastras, the version is highly exaggerated. There seems to have been a genuine and widespread feeling among the devotee public that the jewel should be presented to the deity in due form even in its unfinished state and the attendance at the festival seems to have been unusually large. The indiscretion of the Amildar appears to lie in his not having consulted the Dharmadarsis previously and secured their collaboration. So far as I can

Enq. 666-17
21

make out there is no breach of Shastraic rules, as the purification and consecration ceremony which is after all a simple matter, was gone through in proper form as will be seen from the report of the office Agamiks amd there is absolutely nothing to prohibit an unfinished jewel from being worne by the deity provided a proper shape is given to it. In this instance, the jewel though requiring some finishing work is said to be presentable and is calculated to add to the prestige of the temple. There is nothing to prevent the jewel being handed over to workmen for the finishing work and the jewel being repurified before being worne by the deity.

Copy of the Note sub'd
by the Dewan on 13.7.18.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 6?6 of 1917.

Sec. No.

attended to

1. The reports have since been received. Mean work up

It is informally ascertained from the Chief Secretariat that a reply of Government to the interpellation would be communicated to the Branch Concerned the interpellating member direct, a copy of the reply being sent to the L. C. Section for record.

2. If the reply is given at the next meeting of Council or communicated to the interpellating member

3. Note members remarks

If Mean make an extract and work up.

Refer the Branch or the Mus. Supdt. that should be supplied with the more important of the local publications.

Mean make a list of such with their subscriptions

Action is being taken separately in the matter

NOTE—Contn. sheet

12.7.18

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Enq. File No. 666 of 1917.

Serial No.	
1	Submitted for orders with necessary references
2	CE. 4-2-18 The memo may be replied in accordance with the report of the sub Div Officer, a copy of the reply being sent to Br III for info.
	As regards the Dy Com's report, the Com'r may be recorded with the remark that as the Amildar has been reverted to his former place in the Police Dept, no further action is necessary.
	If the above suggestions are approved, necessary steps will be taken.
	RL 18-7-18
	KB 19/7/18
	Seen) Clean fair-up drafts
	KB 20/7/18.
	Drafts submitted for approval
	CE. 23/7/18 RL 24.7.18.
	KB 25/7/18
	Seen). Clean fair up clean drafts.
	Draft no 2 needs be split up into two

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Army File No. 666 of 191 .

Serial No.

Are perhaps too mildly worded but it seems to me, I say so subject to correction, that the matter appears to have completely dropped out of mind of the Officers concerned and it is perhaps the System that is more to blame than the individual Officers

Extra member) Lea 15-8-18

22 Is it usual to send a reply in the name proposed to an interpolating Member of the L.C.? What is the approved practice in the matter? One has to be careful in seeing that inconvenient precedents are not created! Does it not require the sanction of Council to answer an unusual?

1166
1768.

23 Please consult the branches concerned and note replies of the members present

Lea
19 8 18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

W.D. A 1789—G.P.I.—60,000—20 3 18—S.

G R S. 111-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 666 of 1917

Serial No

24. Forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Govt. Legislative Council for favour of remarks as to the suitability of the letter proposed to be issued to the interpellating member. The approved practice in the matter may be submitted.

19.8.18

Asst. Secy. Genl. Secretariat

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
JUDICIAL
20 AUG 1918

Subs. It is a question for orders if any reply may be sent to the member who asked the question or whether reply may be given to him if he again interpellates for information as to what Govt have done in the matter. In a few cases further replies have been furnished to the members concerned. Other members of such replies are sent up for reference

22/8/18

Generally replies to interpellations have to be approved by the Members and Dewan. The only cases in which replies have been sent to the members are those in which statistics were called for at his instance. Vide ... [illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet

2196 2963

F. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mus. File No. 666 of 1917.

Sella

for orders as to whether the application in the present case may be withdrawn as suggested. Dewan

28/8

(Chief Secretary) I do not think it is necessary to send a reply to the member as proposed. The reply in the Legislative Council was that an enquiry was being made & there was no promise of a further communication on a subsequent date.

If the member still wishes to pursue the matter it is open to him to put a fresh interpellation at a meeting with reference to the previous reply.

In a separate file it is proposed to follow British Indian practice & lay on the table papers which have been promised at previous meetings.

Jafferey Museum : to Comp

Sabu Dec(p) 28/8 1919

'87 44 21/8 — Dec 141

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

WD A 1789—CPB 60000 20.15 1

~~22~~
28

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mun File No. 666 of 1917.

Serial No.

30 The other point raised by the Member has not been answered viz 'whether it does not require the sanction of Council to censure ~~the~~ an auditor'.

31 Consult Hon. Secretary.

Sd
20.2.19

W. 2. 19

32 Hon Secretary with compliments for favour of opinion.

Sd
21.2.19

33 The sanction of Council appears to be necessary to censure an Auditor. The case appears to fall under (V) of App. B of Council Rules of Business.

Sd

My
21/2/19 & 22.2.19

Under the Council Rules sanction of the Council does not—

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1120

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Revenue File No. 666 of 1917

Serial No.

seem to be necessary to convey "displeasure of Govt" to an Amildar.

35 It will be seen from Clause V of the Scheduled subjects (Appx B) that suspension, reduction in pay or rank or withholding of promotions alone require to be placed before the Council.

36 Formerly correspondence having directly or indirectly a bearing on the conduct or capacity for work or character of any officer of Govt of or above the rank of a Dy Asst was a "Dewan through member" subject.

37 Under the existing rules the member ~~concerned~~ if he thinks fit, place the subject before the Dewan under ~~clause 3~~ Rule 3 pro. (c).

Sd
26. 2. 19.

Mugrai Secty)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 661 of 1917.

Serial No.

Subd for orders

2/3/19 1/3/19

Submitted with reference to orders on p 22

Secy.)

1/3/19.

38 Both the queries of the Extra Members under date 17th ult. have now been answered. The Chief Secretary considers that no reply need be sent to the interpellating Members and so this may be dropped.

39 The only question now remaining for disposal is the action to be taken after independent control and supervision exercised by the Local Officers over the affairs of the temple and the censure passed on Mr. S. N. Hanumanta Rao. The latter has since been reverted to the Police Dept having been found fault with in another matter. It is a matter for consideration whether in the circumstances of the case the correspondence may not simply be recorded.

6/24/19 E.B.

1/3/19

S. 3. 19

Mr. Meades

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Secy File No. 666 of 1917.

Serial No.

4. This correspondence may be recorded.

4) But it is necessary that the general management of the affairs of the Depôt should be placed on a proper basis if it has not been done already. I should like to have a separate note from the Secy. as to how this matter stands at present.

1/3/19

Draft.
4
5.3.19

5.3.19.

Draft Subd. If approved a new file will be opened to deal with the subject referred to in the Minute of the Member of Council in Paragraph 4) above.

10/3/19 10/3/19

10/3/19.

Secy) effd. Mue. Returned
Finance

11.3.19

11/3/19

5. No 2732 dy 11.8.19. 10/3/19 D.C. of Mysore. Returned the Letter of the D.C. Mysore

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Temp. File No. 666 of 191/.

Serial No.

15 Draft order issued and the file is resubmitted as ordered in paragraph 44 above

CS 11/3/19 RA 12/3/19

KB 19/3/19

Secy)

46 Under the rules recently sanctioned by Govt. for the periodical inspection of temple jewels, it is not possible that a separate request for such portraits period will be required

(1) Sri Ranganatha Swami temple Affairs have been examined and proposals for the improvement of the temple work are under work and will be submitted to Govt. in due course

48 The detailed instructions for the management of Major institutions which are under the consideration of Govt. provide for periodical and systematic inspection by the Local Mysore officers and the Mysore Supdt.

Ga 17.3.19

(8 members)

Ref. G.O.No. 1833 43 Mur. 535-09-16 dated the 18th Jan 19

8 55 19 3 19

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 666 of 1917

Serial No.	
	Seen. It would be well to decide day by day next; if the registers ordered in para 1 & 2 of the Rules have been prepared for all districts.
X	The annual Mugrai Reports for each District should show how far the work of inspection of Jewellers & other valuables is being systematically carried on.
	Note for action should be taken in time
	Have copies of the orders and begin Profiles as regards the two points mentioned above.
	action is being separately taken in the matter (vide file No. and No 2 18)

The Per. Asst. will please deal with after the Rules are published.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 8 18—S.

GM 6345

IMMEDIATE

Jud 1698-17 34

REVENUE 17 JUN 1918

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Bangalore, Dated 6th June *1918*

17 Cx
Recd
666-17

OFFICE MEMORANDUM.

Under Rule 4 of the Office Memorandum No J 4847-58--Legis 47-15-2, dated the 13th June 1916, the accompanying extracts from questions (No 4) received from Mr. M. Karmick Krishnamurthy Rao non-official Member of the Mysore Legislative Council for being answered at the Meeting of the Council on the 28th June 1918, is forwarded to Br I G.K.S for *immediate* action under Rule 5 of the Office Memo

2 Where no information is available in the Secretariat, the Head of the Department concerned may be communicated with and the information obtained

3. Draft replies with connected files if any, should be sent to this office before the 17th June 1918.

By Order,

Ramiah

For Secretary to the Government,
General Department.

Starred Question by Sri. [illegible]
[illegible]

(a) Has the attention of the Government been drawn to the correspondence in the "Karnataka" and "Samyuktabhudaya" dated 17th April 19[illegible] complaining about the conduct of Sri. [illegible] Hanumanta Rao, acting Amildar, Srirangapatna Taluk (since transferred to T. Narasipur) in the matter of having collected subscriptions for the purpose of completing an unfinished "Gudi" to Sri Ranganathaswami and will Government be pleased to state whether the Amildar had taken the permission of the higher authorities to complete the unfinished "Gudi" which was under lock and seal in the Taluk Treasury?

(b) What was the weight of the "Gudi" in its unfinished state and what were the other jewels precious stones and gold which were in loose condition in the sealed box along with the "Gudi" and what is the present weight of the "Gudi and of the Lac which has been used?

(c) Is there any record to show the number and the quality of the precious stones that had already been set in the "Gudi" before it was taken charge of by the Amildar, and if so will Government be pleased to take action in the matter as regards the number and quality of the gems?

(d) Will Government be pleased to state whether the Amildar or Revenue Officer was justified in collecting subscriptions from people in the Bank without obtaining the permission of the higher authorities?

(e) Whether in collecting subscriptions for the purpose the Amildar has, in obedience to the standing orders, associated with him a Committee of ~~respectable~~ respectable gentlemen and maintained accounts of all receipts and expenditure and whether he has kept records of the proceedings of such Committee if it existed, and whether he kept the District Officers acquainted with the same?

(f) If any accounts had been kept will the Government be pleased to lay on the table a copy of the list of ~~subscriptions~~ subscribers with their names and nature and amount of subscription?

(g) If the answers of the Government to ~~paras~~ the latter parts of (a) (d), & (e) or to any ~~three~~ of them are in the negative what action the Government proposes to take regarding the conduct of the Amildar?

SERINGAPATAM

Bureaucracy in Excels

This heading is hardly app
a State like ours with a most e
and constitutional Ruler assis
beral Dewan. But it pains me to
public that we have here a bu
the person of our Amildar
Hanumantha Rao who to our r
stands transferred to T. Narsip
being tired of his autocratic
and feeling glad to get rid of hi
feel pity for the people of T.
Taluk.

The Government have very w
sed an order that to the recru
Amildari Service, Police office
not be taken. I wish that th
given retrospective effect at l
regard to those police officers
holding office as Amildars, stil

After the advent of Mr S. N
mantha Rao to this Taluk, the
pality has been completely neg
and sanitation ignored, and the village improvement and economic develop ments schemes which are being so zealously advocated by our well-meaning and sympathetic Dewan, received no attention at his hands. His attention was all along concentrated in other fields which would give genuine autocracy. No attempt was made to see unprotected children duly vaccinated, though the vaccination has been made compulsory here for some years.

As a result, a number of children died of late of small-pox and nobody knows whether the Government have been apprised of this.

His management of the Muzrai Institutions has been neither beneficial to the Institutions, nor useful to the public. With regard to these Institutions, his high handedness knows no bounds He began to transfer servants of one Institution to another on his own responsibility, issue orders according to his own whims and caprices slighting Dharmadarsees In fact in many cases the Dharmadarsees were regarded by him as though a non-entity Thirst for personal distinction and desire to show off his autocratic behaviour were the sole principles which guided his actions, which perhaps may have brought to him cheap popularity among the masses. There of. This is what the National Council of Education has been seeking to do, and this is also aimed at by the Society for the Promotion of National Education. To ensure the succes of this movement it has to be popularised, and its aims and objects brought home to every lover of India. I am sure the National Education Week, to celebrate which you are making such excellent arrangements will achieve this purpose, and I wish you every success.

DR. SARBADHICARI'S SPEECH

Calcutta, April 9.—At the inaugural celebration of the National Education Week last evening, under the presidency of Sir Ashutosh Chaudhuri, the late Vice-Chancellor of the Calcutta University, Dr. Sarbadhikari, in the course of his speech, said:

National Education, in its proper sense, will, therefore, soon be the accepted creed of all rank ; and the people and the rulers, who must henceforth be united

procession on the 1st of this month, and asked the town crier to tom-tom in the town inviting objections. A curious procedure unheard of indeed! He did not consult the Dharmadarsees either in arranging the procession or in getting the Mudi work executed to some extent. Though it is against the *shastras* to decorate the God with an unfinished Mudi and there were objections from some quarters, and I am told against the orders of the Sub-Division officer even, the Amildar with a defiant attitude conducted the procession with the unfinished Mudi on the head of the God and felt himself that he was relieved of his responsibility forgetting, however, that his responsibility has just commenced Now the question arises "What right has the Amildar to undertake the responsibility of collecting subscriptions"? As the head of the Taluk, was he justified in doing so, without the express permission of his superior officers? What is the total amount of subscription collected from the public and how was it utilised? For all this he is expected to render an account to the public; and if he does not do so, it behoves the Government to appoint a committee to scrutinize the whole affair and give satisfaction to the public.

There are many other instances of

re to The Asst. Commissioner
Paper dy 10 4 18
Hanumantha Rao
Section Misc
File No. 6655
Serial No.
dy 2 4 18
y Commissioner
y one District
ting your attention
le entitled —
racy in Excelsis'
on page 9 of The
ka' dated 10th April
directed to state
ation has been —
the Legislative
Council

Council relating to the incident and to ~~request~~ request that you will be so good as to furnish a detailed reply on the following points at a very early date.

a. Had the Amildar taken the permission of the higher authorities to complete the unfinished ~~furnished~~ 'Mudi' of the Sri Ranganathaswami at Seringapatam, which was under lock and seal in the Taluk Treasury.

(b) What was the weight of the 'Mudi' in its unfinished state and what were the other jewels, precious stones and gold which were in loose condition in the Sealed box along with the 'Mudi'? and what is the present weight of the 'Mudi' and

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPL—20 000 11 2 14

and of the lac which has been used?

c Is there any record to show the number and quality of the precious stones which had already been set in the Mur before it was taken charge of the by the Amildar? If so a statement as regards the number and quality of the gems may be submitted

(d) Was the Amildar as Revenue officer justified in collecting subscriptions from the people in the Taluk without obtaining the permission of the higher authorities?

(e) Whether in collecting ~~subscriptions~~ subscriptions for the purpose the amildar has in obedience to the Standing orders associated with him a Committee of respectable gentlemen

(gentlemen and maintained accounts of ~~of~~ all receipts and expenditure, and whether he has kept records of the proceedings of such Committee if it existed and whether he kept the District officers acquainted with the same?

(5) If any accounts had been kept a copy of the list of subscribers with their names and nature and the amount of subscription may be submitted

(g) If the answers to ~~Goods~~ any of these ~~the letter parts of (b) (a) and (c)~~ ~~or to any of them~~ are in the negative ~~what~~ action the Dy. Comm^r proposes to take ~~regarding~~ The Which same to the consideration of Govt.

~~The Conduct of the Amildar~~
~~An early reply is required.~~

I have etc. —

If air can with convenient attention requires notice or enquiry may be pleased, I am to request that you will be so good as to indicate the

The matter may be treated as very urgent.

Jr
13.6.18

Jt Secy to Govt
Mly Dept

in
17/6/18

DIARY No. 2437

SECRETARIAT VII 1918

1917-18

MESOP MISC 666-17 3

W.D. 0244—GPB—6,00,000—19-5-16—S.

191 8

From

To

Dated 15th June 1918

Despatched 15th June 1918

Received 05967

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Ignition wire is not available
make an exchange
[illegible]
21/6/18

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Mysore, dated the 15th June 1918.

From

C. Srikantan, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Revenue Secretary, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Mysore Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.3454/Muz.666-17-2 dated the 10th June 1918, received this day, in regard to an article entitled "Bureaucracy in Excelsis" published in the "Karnataka" of the 10th April 1918, I have the honour to state that I have sent for the editor to give the information and explanation called for by the Government. In the meantime I request that a copy of the article may be kindly furnished to me as the issue of the "Karnataka" of the 10th April 1918 is not readily available.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

DIARY No. 2196

1917 18
SECTION Mur
FILE No. 666-17
SERIAL No. 4.

Imperial

W.D. 0241—GPB—6,00,000—15-5-16—C.

1917 18

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Joint Secretary to

Govt., Mazrai Dept.

Dated 27th June 1918.

Despatched 27th June 1918

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Submits report in regard to an article entitled "Bureaucracy in Excelsis" published in the Karnataka of the 10th April 1918.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore, 27th June 1918.

From

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No. 639 of 17-18 Muz. dated the 15th June 1918, in regard to an article entitled "Bureaucracy in Excelsis," published in the "Karnataka" of the 10th April 1918, I have the honour to forward herewith in original the explanation furnished by Mr. S. N. Hanumantha Rao, ex-Amildar of Seringapatam Taluk and the report of the Sub Division Officer of French Rocks, No. 327 dated 25th June 1918 with its enclosures. The Sub Division Officer has reported in detail on the several queries contained in your letter under reply.

I have nothing to add to this, except that the Amildar's action in having collected subscriptions from the devotees without obtaining previous orders in the matter and without even reporting the matter to the Deputy Commissioner, and also in having spent the money according to his own ideas without associating a committee of respectable gentlemen, was irregular and deserves censure. I may add that Mr. Hanumantha Rao has since been reverted to his permanent appointment in connection with certain other irregularities which occurred in the Seringapatam Taluk (vide G.O. No. R.15-17 dated 18th June 1918).

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

4.4

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT Complaints against Rao S.V. Hanumanantha Rao, Ex Amildar of Seringapatam in the matter of his having collected Subscriptions for relieving Ranga

Diary No. of letter replied to 2196

Section Confl

File No. 666-17

Serial No. 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

(VII)

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 11.3.1919

Signed by Mr. M. Srikantaiya

P.O.

Read.— Correspondence ending with letter No Dl Dis 184/17-18 dated the 27th June 1918 from the Dy. Comr, Mysore Dt., submitting his report in regard to an article entitled "Bureaucracy in Excelsis" published in the "Karnataka" of the 10th April 1918 regarding the conduct of Rao

over.

Order Thereon:— ~~No.~~

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

S-N. Hammenthaka,
late of Amildar of the
Seri-pepetam Taluk,
in the matter of his having
unauthorisedly
Collected Subscriptions
for Completing the
"Ranga Mundu" ~~Vithon~~ ~~having previously obtained~~
Orders No 2732 ~~Orders therefor~~
Records ~~dtd~~ Encls 666-17-5 Encl of file V 1100 dated 11.3.

HD. Jt Secy to Govt,
10/3/19 Encls & file
10/3/19

To

The Dy Commr, myore
Despatch, returning records

CG
10/3/19

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by CG 11/3

S-N. Hammenthal Rao,
late Amildar of the
Seripeputain Taluk,
in the matter of his having
unauthorisedly
Collected Subscriptions
for completing the
"Ranga Mandi" ~~without having previously obtained~~
Orders thereon
Orders No 2732 dated 11.3.19
Recorded

lh
11.3.19

To H.S. H. Secy to Govt,
Home Dept
10/3/19.

List of enclosures.

To

The Dy Comm^r, Mysore
District, returning records.

10/3/19

Copied by
Examined by 11/3

Details of precious stones found in a tin box, made up into small paper packets

~~Rubies~~

Rubies	One packet containing three hundred & forty Seven rubies
Emerald	One packet Contg. ~~28~~ Twenty eight emeralds
Rubies	One packet Contg Twenty nine rubies and tin silver socket to embed them in.

Item No 2

Rubies	One packet Carly one hundred and twenty five small Rubies.	Rubies	In this packet one explanbroke piece of ruby was found
Miscellaneous	One packet Carly four Emeralds, one ruby, one pearl, two ~~big~~ diamonds, one pce small, 99 off 100 one, One 99 off 100 set in silver, one small Coral.		
- do -	One Steel Snuff box Carly ~~emeralds~~		

twenty three emeralds,
one [illegible], two
[illegible], two [illegible], two
[illegible] topes), two
sapphires, two blue
stones, diamonds
three one white
stone. [It is not possible
to say that all these
are pure gems.]
One pocket comb
One Gold ring set
with one diamond
and nine rubies
One Gold ring
without diamond

and one Lbo of B
Do of Cents ten
rubies and Six
pearls (Bombay pearls)
One packet
Cents two Silver
links (Lbo. of B & Gw)
with two Small
Loire bits. One
Venkatarangaswami (Jog)
and one glass piece
One packet
Cents ten white
stones, four topaz
(of Jog), nine
diamonds

One packet
Containg forty eight
rubies (one hundred).

One packet containg
24 rubies (one
hundred)

one packet
Containg forty nine
Small rubies.

All the above are
Kept in a tin box
(one box)

Item No 3.

Details of articles contained
in the box presented by
Kanthaswamigal, Sheristadar

As the box bore

Item No. 3.

The

in the

of the Nanjangud Tk, as being Connected with Ranga Murti (O.O.C.23) Pearls.

Three hundred and eight ~~(308)~~ (23-24) pearls Kept in a box (C.B. 29/23) Out of these one was Considered ~~to be of~~ good ~~doubtful~~ value and hence it was tied up in a piece of paper & Kept in the box

Rubies

One packet Contg twelve rubies (O.O.C.23), fastened in a brass wire

the the seal of Krishna Iyengar and as the person was absent, the box was not examined.

+ All the loose stones that had fallen ~~down~~ from their setting were made into a paper packet and kept in the box Contg. the Kirita (O.O.C.23).

Sd/ C Narayana Rao & Nil

To

Rao, Ex. A. Circle Seringapatam

Thirthayagiri & other Citizens of Seringapatam

Rubies

One packet Contg twelve rubies

Pearls	One cloth packet Cerlg ten ~~to~~ pure pearls, each of the size of a black gram.
Pearls	One red, cloth packet Cerlg thirty four pure pearls, small size, some being fastened in gold ~~thread~~ wire
-do-	One packet of white cloth Cerlg. eleven ~~rough~~ pure pearls (rough) each of the size of a Bengal gram.

Pearls One pkt 5
ten pearls

Pearls One pkt Cerlg.
eleven pearls

Pearl	One white cloth packet Cntg forty six pure pearls, each a little bigger in size than a green gram.
Rubies	One black cloth packet Cntg four good rubies.
do -	One paper packet Cntg fifteen small good rubies
Topaz (5 off) 1 Emerald	One paper packet Cntg three topaz and two emeralds

Pearls

forty six

Rubies one pkt Cntg ~~three~~ four rubies

(F. one Terra Cotta Head pair

Rubies one pkt Cntg fifteen rubies

White Stones (£0 8s 0d 0qr)	One paper pocket Cont. one small & one lg white Stone
Rubies (£0 0s 6d 0qr)	One paper pocket Cont. twenty eight rubies (£0 0s 6d 0qr)
Pearl	One paper pocket Cont. broken bits of pearls.
-do-	One paper pocket Cont. thirteen small (8(0)) pure pearls, some of which are fastened in gold wire

~~Rubies~~	
Rubies	one pkt twenty eight lg rubies
Pearls.	~~One~~ Five pkts pearls of the weight twenty 6-0-0

Pearls.	One paper packet Carly seventy five (75 Co) pure pearls
- do -	One paper packet Carly forty six small pure pearls.
Rubies	One yellow paper packet Carly thirty pure rubies
- do -	One packet Carly twelve good rubies
- do -	One black paper packet Carly twelve good rubies.
- do -	One paper packet Carly fifteen good rubies (ಒಂದು ಪೊಟ್ಟಣ ರತ್ನ)

Rubies	one pkt Carly thirty one ⊗ ⊗ One extra see last
Rubies	one pkt Carly twelve small rubies
Rubies	one pkt Carly. twelve rubies
Rubies	one pkt Carly fifteen rubies

All the above articles are ~~kept~~ Kept in a steel box (weighing 2 lbs 29 oz) and bear the seals of the Talak & of Krishnamurthy.

Item four.
One cloth packet Contg
ten seer weights
and bearing the
seal of Krishnamurthy.

Item five.
One tin box (0 lb 0 oz 0 dr 29 gr)
Contg twenty small and
twenty large diamonds
bearing the Talak seal

Rubies &	one pkt Contg 7
Emeralds	five small and two
Rubies.	Rubies from 50 to 60 chaff ~~Emeralds~~
# (illegible) (illegible)	twelve in
Pearls	one box 128
(illegible)	Contg.
	Bombay pearls

All the above articles
Kept in a box (0 lb 25 oz 2 dr)
and sealed with the
of the Talak Treasury
of Srinivasaraya

Cover No 6

Gold leaf One paper box (~~Rs 29~~ 21) Cont.
Gold leaf of the weight
~~of Rupee one~~
of Rs 1-7-6

Rubies & Emeralds } One paper packet Cont. one small cut ruby, one small ruby and one emerald.

All the above articles are kept in a box, locked and sealed with the seal of the Court and the seals of the Temple Peishkar & of Shiva Yajnamacharlu